

THE LEGAL CLARITY DOCTRINE OF SOUTHERN CAMEROONS

LCD-SC

International Legal Framework for Decolonization Resolution

CORE STATEMENT

The Southern Cameroons question is not a matter of secession, but a matter of **incomplete decolonization** arising from the absence of a legally valid union instrument between two former United Nations Trust Territories.

THE CORE PROBLEM

1

UN Trust Territory Status

British Southern Cameroons and French Cameroun were distinct UN Trust Territories, each entitled to independent self-determination under international law.

2

Absence of a Valid Treaty

No legally valid and registered union treaty exists under Article 102 of the UN Charter, creating a fundamental gap in the legal basis of the union.

3

Incomplete Decolonization

This absence constitutes a condition of incomplete decolonization — a direct violation of obligations established under Chapters XI and XII of the UN Charter.

LEGAL FOUNDATION

UN Charter

Chapters XI & XII
Trust Territory obligations &
self-determination rights

UNGA Resolutions

1514 · 1541 · 1608
Decolonization mandates & plebiscite
conditions

Article 102

Treaty Registration
Requirement for valid international union
instruments

THE FIVE PILLARS

1

Definition Before Action
All efforts must begin with precise legal definition of the problem.

2

Law Over Narrative
Claims must be grounded in international law, not emotional or political rhetoric.

3

Sequence Over Reaction
A structured, step-by-step legal process must be followed without shortcuts.

4

Institutions Over Individuals
Work is carried through organized structures, not personalities.

5

Outcomes Over Expression
Every action must produce measurable legal or institutional progress.

THE PROCESS PATHWAY

01

Define the Legal Case
Precise articulation of the incomplete decolonization claim under international law

02

Validate with Evidence
Verify claims through archival research and independent legal expert review

03

Produce Documentation
Draft formal legal memos, position papers, and institutional submissions

04

Engage International Bodies
Present findings to the UN, ICJ, and other recognized legal institutions

05

Escalate Through Channels
Pursue structured diplomatic and legal escalation pathways

06

Achieve Resolution
Secure legal recognition and a formal, binding resolution pathway

OPERATIONAL STRUCTURE

BUILDERS | Core 3–5%

Execute legal strategy
Produce formal documents
Engage institutions
Control direction & process

SUPPORT BASE | 95%

Provide sustainable funding
Amplify communication
Support institutional execution
Maintain solidarity

WHAT THIS DOCTRINE REJECTS

X	Undefined activism without structure or legal grounding
X	Emotional mobilization substituted for legal strategy
X	Unsequenced or purely reactive actions
X	Reliance on external sympathy without documented legal claims
X	Personality-driven movements that lack institutional continuity

EXPECTED OUTPUTS

Legal Position Papers Formally documented arguments grounded in international law	Verified Archival Evidence Authenticated primary source materials supporting legal claims	Institutional Engagements Documented interactions with UN bodies and legal institutions	Measurable Legal Progress Tracked advancement toward formal resolution pathways
---	---	---	---

The path forward requires **clarity**, **discipline**, and **institutional thinking**. This doctrine provides the framework to move from prolonged struggle to lawful resolution.

Clarity → Structure → Process → Outcome