



THE LEGAL CLARITY DOCTRINE OF SOUTHERN CAMEROONS

LCD-SC

A Legal-Institutional Resolution Framework for an Incomplete Decolonization Case

PREAMBLE

This document establishes the **Legal Clarity Doctrine of Southern Cameroons (LCD-SC)** — a structured legal-institutional framework designed to define, document, and resolve the question of Southern Cameroons through recognised international legal processes.

The doctrine is grounded in international law, UN Charter provisions, and General Assembly resolutions pertaining to decolonization and self-determination. It is not a political slogan, an emotional movement, or a reaction to current events. It is a doctrine — structured, sequenced, and outcome-oriented.

SECTION 1

Defining the System We Are Building

The LCD-SC is not a movement, a slogan, or a reaction. It is a **legal-institutional resolution system** for an incomplete decolonization case. As such, the doctrine must:

- Define the problem with legal precision
- Control language to prevent distortion
- Enforce a clear sequence of action
- Assign institutional responsibility
- Produce verifiable, measurable outcomes

SECTION 2

The Core Statement

Every doctrine requires one foundational statement that cannot be diluted. This is the spine of the LCD-SC:

"The Southern Cameroons question is not a matter of secession, but a matter of incomplete decolonization arising from the absence of a legally valid union instrument between two former UN Trust Territories."

Any framework, claim, or action that contradicts this core statement lies outside the doctrine.

SECTION 3

The Five Pillars of the Doctrine

These five pillars are non-negotiable. They define how the doctrine operates in practice.

PILLAR I Definition Before Action

No action is taken without first defining the legal problem precisely. Vague language, emotional framing, and undefined "struggle" language are not permitted. If a claim cannot be defined with legal precision, it cannot be acted upon under this doctrine.

PILLAR II Law Over Narrative

All claims must be grounded in UN Charter provisions, General Assembly resolutions, Trusteeship agreements, and registered or missing legal instruments. No claim stands without a verifiable legal basis. Narrative alone is insufficient.

PILLAR III Sequence Over Reaction

The process must follow a defined order: (1) Establish the legal gap; (2) Validate through evidence and expert review; (3) Document the case comprehensively; (4) Engage competent international bodies; (5) Escalate systematically. No steps are skipped. No shortcuts are taken.

PILLAR IV Institutions Over Individuals

The work is carried by structures, not personalities. Legal teams, documentation units, funding systems, and communication protocols govern how the doctrine operates. No individual speaks or acts outside their defined institutional role.

PILLAR V Outcomes Over Expression

Every action must produce a document, a submission, a formal response, or measurable progress. Activity that does not advance a concrete outcome — however passionate — is not part of the doctrine.

SECTION 4

The Operating Model

The doctrine operates through two distinct but complementary layers. This separation is essential to maintain discipline, direction, and strategic coherence.

LAYER 1 — BUILDERS (Core 3–5%)

- Understand and apply the doctrine in full
- Execute the legal process step by step
- Produce formal legal documents and submissions
- Engage international institutions directly
- Control strategic direction

LAYER 2 — SUPPORT BASE (95%)

- Amplify verified messaging and communications
- Provide financial support through defined structures
- Share doctrine materials within their networks
- Support without defining strategy
- Defer to institutional direction on all policy matters

SECTION 5**Language Control**

The doctrine protects itself through precision of language. Certain terms carry legal weight and must be used only within their correct legal context:

Term	Required Anchoring
"Annexation"	Must be tied to the absence of a valid treaty instrument
"Self-Determination"	Must be tied to the UN Charter and GA resolution framework
"Union"	Must reference a specific, registered legal instrument
"Independence"	Must follow legal establishment of incomplete decolonization

Free-floating slogans that cannot be anchored to a specific legal provision are not permitted under this doctrine.

SECTION 6**What Is NOT Part of This Doctrine**

Clarity of exclusion is as important as clarity of inclusion. The following are explicitly outside the LCD-SC:

- ✗ Emotional mobilisation as a primary strategy
- ✗ Undefined "independence now" messaging without legal grounding
- ✗ Reliance on external sympathy or miraculous intervention

- ✗ Unstructured activism that produces no measurable outcome
- ✗ Any individual acting outside their institutional role
- ✗ Claims that cannot be supported by legal documentation

SECTION 7

The Five Institutional Outputs

To move from doctrine to reality, the LCD-SC must immediately produce five foundational outputs:

01	The Legal Position Paper A precisely drafted document defining the case for incomplete decolonization under international law.
02	The Evidence & Archive File A comprehensive repository of UN records, trusteeship documents, treaty absences, and all relevant legal documentation.
03	The Engagement Map A structured guide identifying which international bodies to contact, in what order, and through what formal channels.
04	The Funding Structure A transparent, controlled, and purpose-driven financial model that supports the legal process without creating individual dependencies.
05	The Communication Protocol A defined framework governing who speaks, what is said, how it is communicated, and what approval process governs all external messaging.

SECTION 8

Public Communiqué — Introductory Statement

The following is the approved introductory statement for public communication of the doctrine. It must be shared verbatim and without modification:

We must now move from reaction to structure.

What we are facing is not just a political struggle. It is a legal question that must be clearly defined and resolved through the proper international process.

For this reason, we are introducing a clear framework: the Legal Clarity Doctrine of Southern Cameroons.

This doctrine is based on one foundational truth: this is not a secession issue. It is an incomplete decolonization issue arising from the absence of a valid legal union between two former UN Trust Territories.

Going forward, we define the problem clearly, follow a structured legal pathway, build institutions rather than noise, and focus on outcomes rather than reactions.

This is how serious nations are built. The time for confusion is over. The time for clarity and discipline has begun.

The Legal Clarity Doctrine of Southern Cameroons — LCD-SC

Structured. Sequenced. Outcome-Driven.